

RED ROSE TRANSIT • RED ROSE TROLLEY • RED ROSE ACCESS

Single Audit Report

June 30, 2017



Table of Contents June 30, 2017

	Page
Report Distribution List	1
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government</i> <i>Auditing Standards and the Audit Requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of</i> Transportation	
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	d 4 to 6
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	9
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10 and 11

Report Distribution List June 30, 2017

Board of Directors Red Rose Transit Authority 45 Erick Road Lancaster, PA 17601

Federal Audit Clearinghouse 1201 E. 10th Street Jeffersonville, IN 47132

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration TBP-24 Room 9424 400 7th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20590

Federal Transit Administration 1700 Market Street Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19103

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Authority Region 3 Office 1760 Market Street Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Bureau of Audits Special Audit Services Division Forum Place, 8th Floor 555 Walnut Street Harrisburg, PA 17101

Lancaster County 50 N. Duke Street P.O. Box 83480 Lancaster, PA 17608-3480

Lancaster County Office of Aging 50 N. Duke Street P.O. Box 83480 Lancaster, PA 17608-3480 Lancaster County Behavioral Health/ Developmental Services and Mental Retardation 50 N. Duke Street P.O. Box 83480 Lancaster, PA 17608-3480



Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and the Audit Requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors Red Rose Transit Authority Reading, Pennsylvania

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the audit requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation, the financial statements of the Red Rose Transit Authority, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Red Rose Transit Authority's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 27, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Red Rose Transit Authority's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Red Rose Transit Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Red Rose Transit Authority's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Red Rose Transit Authority's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and the audit requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and the audit requirements of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

RKL LLP

November 27, 2017 Lancaster, Pennsylvania



Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors Red Rose Transit Authority Lancaster, Pennsylvania

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Red Rose Transit Authority's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB, *Compliance Supplement*, that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Red Rose Transit Authority's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The Red Rose Transit Authority's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Red Rose Transit Authority's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements of Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Red Rose Transit Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Red Rose Transit Authority's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Red Rose Transit Authority complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the Red Rose Transit Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Red Rose Transit Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Red Rose Transit Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, with a type of compliance of a federal program, that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the Red Rose Transit Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and have issued our report thereon, dated November 27, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purpose of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from, and relates directly to, the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

RKL LLP

November 27, 2017 Lancaster, Pennsylvania

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards June 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass- Through Grantor's Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients		E	(penditures
U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal						
Transit Administration	00 507	BA 00 0700	•		¢	4
Federal Transit-Formula Grants	20.507	PA-90-0729	\$	-	\$	1,686
Federal Transit-Formula Grants	20.507	PA-90-0802				1,465,479
Federal Transit-Formula Grants	20.507	PA-90-0757		-		36,576
Total Federal Transit Cluster				-		1,503,741 *
Transit Services Programs Passed through the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities	20.513	N/A		-		16,035
Passed through to Subrecipient South Central Transit Authority Job Access Reverse Commute	00 540	DA 07 (050				
Program	20.516	PA-37-4052		110,107		110,107
Total Transit Services Programs				110,107		126,142
Total Federal Awards Expended			\$	110,107	\$	1,629,883

* Denotes major programs as defined by the Uniform Guidance.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards June 30, 2017

Note 1 - Significant Accounting Policies

The Red Rose Transit Authority (the Authority) maintains its accounting records in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The financial information contained in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards has been prepared on the basis of accounting practices prescribed under the terms of Operating Assistance, Planning, and Capital Grants with the Federal Transit Administration. The practices differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as follows:

- 1. Depreciation is not allowed as a project cost.
- 2. Certain expenditures that would not normally be included in the determination of net income are either allowable or unallowable for project purposes.

Note 2 - Program Clusters

The following program cluster, as defined by the Uniform Guidance, was treated as a single program for determining major programs:

	CFDA Number	Expenditures		
Transit Services Programs	20.513 and 20.516	\$	126,142	

Note 3 - Indirect Cost Rate

Indirect cost of the Authority was based on actual time. The Authority did not elect to utilize the ten percent de minimis indirect cost rate.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings June 30, 2017

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs June 30, 2017

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued:	Unmodified			
Internal control over financial reporting				
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	X	No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?		Yes	\mathbf{X}	None Reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?		Yes	\mathbf{X}	No
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major programs				
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	\mathbf{X}	No
Significant deficiency(ies) identified?		Yes	\mathbf{X}	None Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified			
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516(a)?		Yes	\mathbf{X}	No

Identification of Major Programs

CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program				Amount Expended		
20.507	Federal Transit-Formula Grants				\$	1,503,741	
Dollar threshold used and Type B program	to distinguish between Type A ns:				\$	750,000	
Auditee qualified as low	v-risk auditee?	\mathbf{X}	Yes		No		

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (continued) June 30, 2017

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

None.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None.